Approved For Release 2001/06/09 SCIARLE P80-01826R000500120003-5

CONFIDENTIAL

CIA CAREER SERVICE BOARD

12th Meeting

Thursday, 29 October 1953

11:00 a.m.

DCI Conference Room

Administration Building

DOCUMENT NO			
NO CHANGE IN CLASS			
☐ DECLASSIFIED CLASS. CHANGED TO: NEXT REVIEW DATE:	TS	s (0)	201
AUTH: HR 70-2		EWER.	028728

Approved For Release 2001/06/09: CIA-RDP20-01826R000500120003-5

INDEX

CONFIDENTIAL

	•	,-5-
1.	Minutes of the previous meeting	.1
2.	Medical Benefits for Dependents of Employees, and Additional Medical Benefits for Employees	.1-10
	Death Gratuity as a Career Service Benefit	
4.	Definition of the Career Service	.14-15
5 -	Fiture meetings	.15-16

12th Meeting, CIA Career Service Board, held 29 October 1953.

CONFIDENTIAL"

CIA CAREER SERVICE BOARD

12th Meeting

Thursday, 29 October 1953

11:00 a.m.

DCI Conference Room

Administration Building

In Attendance:

Lyman B. Kirkpatrick, Inspector General, Chairman

Acting Personnel Director, Alternate for 25X1A9a the AD/Personnel, Member

ting Deputy Assistant Director, CI, Alternate for the AD/CI, Member

AD/Communications, Member

Assistant to the Director of Training, Alternate for the Director of Training, Member 25X1A9a

Chief, Administrative Staff, DD/P

John R. Tietjen, Chief, Medical Staff

Chairman, Legislative Task Force 25X1A9a

Lawrence K. White, Acting DD/A, Member

xecutive Secretary
ecretariat

Reporter

25X1A9a

. . . The 12th Meeting of the CIA Career Service Board convened at 11:00 a.m., 29 October 1953, in the DCI Conference Room, Mr. Lyman B. Kirkpatrick presiding . . .

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Gentlemen, we will come to order.

The first item on the agenda is the minutes of the meeting of last week.

Are there any corrections or amendments? If not, we will consider them approved as presented.

Item 2 on the agenda is Tab A, Medical Benefits for Dependents of Employees, and Additional Medical Benefits for Employees. Are there any comments or discussions on this particular report or would you like further explanation from

Kirk, I have several things I would like to point out as being changes that have occurred since they were able to put this together. None of them are extremely important, I don't believe, but if you want me to go into them I will. For example, some of the coverage of WAEPA has been extended, and GEHA has been extended. Now if you want me to go into those points I'll do it.

25X1A9a

I don't think so. I think this group was made aware at one of these meetings that WAEPA coverage had been extended, so in fact any one in CIA can forget about it. Formerly you would have had to inveigle a little bit unless you were going abroad.

It's just a result of those things having happened since you wrote it.

25X1A9a

Has WAEPA been extended to the point where it is worth a damn overseas? If you were in Timbuktu it wouldn't do you much good. 25X1A9a

The coverage has been extended considerably. I'm looking at the chart with the Study here, and I can point out a couple for you as an example. The \$6 a day limit that previously existed in now \$9 on accommodations' costs. You see even there, even on GHI, you have a \$10 limit overseas. But they have extended this for that much. And for certain hospital extras where there had been no benefits for those services, GEHA now offers \$135 for such things as sterile tray services, plaster casts, etc.

25X1A9a

That is in here.

Where is this in the Tab, Charlie?

It's Annex 1 to Tab C of Tab A.

25X1A9a

25X1C4a

so I believe that you will find that under their present schedule today they are doing considerably better.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Does that actually change anything in the principle of the Tab, as presented? 25X1A9a

Only to a degree, and it's not what I would call a significant degree, really, because in the first place the coverage which was involved with GEHA only touched on the problem anyway; in other words, it didn't go into the question of transportation, for one thing, which is a major cost in connection, specifically, with dependents. So GEHA's plans of insurance have no affect on it.

there is one point about that, though, John. In your discussion on page 4, starting with the example, take the case of the wife who gets polio. You say we wouldn't be authorized to return that woman. Well, I think we would be authorized by simply giving her a PCS return. It wouldn't seem reasonable that she could expect to recover from polio during the length of tour of the individual, so you could, under a PCS arrangement, bring them back.

25X1A9a

But don't they have to pay their own expenses and later put in for the claim?

MR. WHITE: We have actually done it in advance of the employee coming back, but in each case it does require special treatment and coming up to us to handle as an exception to the rule.

25X1A9a MR. But doesn't the employee have to pay and put in a claim?

MR. WHITE: No, we have done it the other way on several occasions where we would go ahead and pay their way back.

MR. r the employee.

25X1A9a

MR. : I was thinking of people

13443 Jane Swee then

That is right, we are a little less free then. 25X1A9a

Or if the timing were different. For example, it would

be a little difficult to cover if it happened 2 months after they got over there.

But on these other things the way we've tried to solve it, the actual situation was such that we could finagle a way.

How did you do it with 25X1A9a 25X1A9a
That was a free deal. That was on a space available basis.
You can usually make a deal.

2 -

MR. WHITE: What we are saying here is that we would like to have some system where we wouldn't have these individual deals. We do our best to solve 25X1A9a an individual case but we would prefer to have a system. It takes a lot of manhours and you may not be able to solve it in the last analysis. 25X1A9a With the increasing budget restrictions and reimbursements getting a little more difficult all the while, I don't see any reason to hope it will be any better. MR. WHITE: It takes a special consultation between the DD/A and the General Counsel and the Comptroller and Personnel Officer for each individual case that arises, to find some way to work it out. It would be far better if we had a clear-cut authority to do something. 25X1A9a Would it be feasible to make a distinction here between hardship overseas? 25X1A9a In a sense that is done here, John. It isn't spelled out just that way but it is related to a causal connection between the two; in other 25X1A6a words, a dependent getting a benefit in wouldn't get any such considera-25X1A6a they might. tion but if they got TB in 25X1A9a you might have to If you had appendicitis in 25X1A6a fly out of there. A lot of men wouldn't have to be doing that but supposing 25X1A9a it's their children? 25X1A9a That is the heart of this. MR. That is the heart of this whole problem. We are talking 25X1A9a about dependents. 25X1A9a The people we have out in and those MR. 25X1A6a places, to induce a man to go out there with his family he should be assured that he is going to be taken care of and he should be satisfied that he is not going to have to pay more than he would pay back here in Washington. 25X1A9a In most cases he would rather waive his own considerations and get the benefits for his kids. 25X1A9a Because his hospitalization is not going to help him MR. 25X1A6a I think that is the heart of the thing. I to get from say or anyplace that couldn't argue for benefits for dependents in 25X1A6a

25X1A

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

is comparable to Washington, but in some of these South American Stations, for instance, medicine is kind of primitive, isn't it? MR. TIETJEN: Yes. In fact, in case of an illness occurring very far 25X1A6a south, down as far as the they are usually flown all the way up to 25X1A6a to be cared for. Hospital in I noticed that wasn't spelled out in the Study but I assumed that the General Counsel and the DD/A would work out what is almost, in principle, equivalent to a post differential. 25X1A9a That, in a sense, is hidden in these words: "The eligibility for such benefits should depend on a prior determination of causal relationship based on exposure to additional hazard in a manner similar to the standard utilized by the Bureau of Employees Compensation." Now where an employee, for example, had appendicitis in the could go to a hospital and he wouldn't 25X1A6a where there wasn't enter the picture, but if an employee got appendicitis in a hospital, they would pay for it, because there is an additional hazard in being 25X1A6a in a place where there is no hospital. Would they pay for air transportation up to To an appropriate hospital, yes. 25X1A9a For a dependent? No, but this paper proposes it for the dependent. 25X1A9a The employees are taken care of reasonably well under our present existing Regulations, but the dependents are out in the weeds. Then the question of TDY would not enter into this thing? 25X1A9a Well, it does in here. Would it include dependents? No. But it enters into it from the fact that the employee may have to accompany the dependent because there may be no other way of getting the sick dependent to a place where there are facilities to take care of them. MR. WHITE: But your paper is not directed to that. Your paper is 25X1A9a next time he goes to Europe. directed toward taking care of

That is right.

there any changes which anybody wishes to be made?

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Any other comments on this particular paper? Are

I sure wouldn't weaken what we are trying to do here for

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

Approved For Release 2001/06/09 : CIA-RDP80346224000500120003-5

Security Information

dependents by trying to throw in any additional benefits for employees. We could throw in that caveat. 25X1A9a Eliminate the TDY coverage. one is important and the other would be kind of nice if 25X1A9a we could get it. They are two entirely separate problems but since they are both emergency medical cases they are in the same paper. 25X1A9a You can fiddle around on a TDY trip and extend it to 25X1A6a where there is a hospital, but a dependent couldn't very well do that. MR. WHITE: But that doesn't take care of the fellow who is on a trip over there and who is really sick and needs hospitalization, and for all of the costs and things that go into it, that doesn't help him any. MR. TIETJEN: The benefits that we are asking for on TDY are already available for the State Department. Their terminology is "assigned abroad", while ours is more specific, to categories. This is already available and there wouldn't be much of a scrap on that. The scrap would be on the dependents. 25X1A9a The present estimate is only \$4000 for that feature, for TDY. It's almost negligible, not to the individual but to the over-all problem. 25X1A9a You make it \$6000 by including the territories. MR. TIETJEN: John, I'd like to ask a question to clarify one point here. The BEC is used as the comparative qualifying norm which we would follow? 25X1A9a Yes. MR. TIETJEN: To be more specific let's take the case of appendicitis, when an individual's dependent is stricken with the disease on let's say. 25X1A6a Under and it is a complicated case which requires that he be flown to this legislation, if we were to get it, would this be reimbursed? 25X1A9a I should think so, John. MR. THETJEN: Although the BEC doesn't recognize appendicitis as a claim? 25X1A9a I don't think we should take that too literally. Writing up this standard for actual legislation was difficult but what we are basically

25X1A6a

at is a causal relationship: But for the fact that we put this man on

Isn't the point that you are making, quite important here, that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rather than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A90 25X1A90 25X1A90 You wouldn't call being on Causal relationship with pregnancy. 25X1A90 But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A90 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A90 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A60 XIA90 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A60 25X1A60 and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,			25X1A6a
MR. TIETJEN: I wanted some assurance that certain of the island posts in the Pacific where things which are normally taken care of here very easily, and not recognized by the EEC, are problems of magnitude over there. For example, even pregnancy on certain of the islands over there, they have to be flown 100 miles through sleet storms, etc., and this is an added expense and quite a danger, etc. This would never be recognized under EEC. Correct, but the standard is the same. Isn't the point that you are making, quite important here, that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rather than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A92 pay for the care? You wouldn't call being on causal relationship with pregnancy. But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A93 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A94 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		there wouldn't be this additional expense of shipping him from	
in the Pacific where things which are normally taken care of here very easily, and not recognized by the EEC, are problems of magnitude over there. For example, even pregnancy on certain of the islands over there, they have to be flown 100 miles through sleet storms, etc., and this is an added expense and quite a danger, etc. This would never be recognized under BEC. Correct, but the standard is the same. Isn't the point that you are making, quite important here, that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rather than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A9s. You wouldn't call being on causal relationship with pregnancy. Eut there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A9s Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A9s MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months pregnant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIENJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		for hospital care.	
not recognized by the BEC, are problems of magnitude over there. For example, even pregnancy on certain of the islands over there, they have to be flown 100 miles through sleet storms, etc., and this is an added expense and quite a danger, etc. This would never be recognized under BEC. Correct, but the standard is the same. Isn't the point that you are making, quite important here, that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rather than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A92 25X1A93 You wouldn't call being on But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A93 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A93 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A93 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A63 nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		MR. TIETJEN: I wanted some assurance that certain of the island post	8
even pregnancy on certain of the islands over there, they have to be flown 100 miles through sleet storms, etc., and this is an added expense and quite a danger, etc. This would never be recognized under EEC. Correct, but the standard is the same. Isn't the point that you are making, quite important here, that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rather than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A91 25X1A92 25X1A93 You wouldn't call being on But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A93 25X1A94 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A93 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A93 NR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A66 25X1A66 25X1A66 25X1A66 25X1A66 25X1A66 25X1A66 25X1A67 25X1A68 2		in the Pacific where things which are normally taken care of here very easily,	and
miles through sleet storms, etc., and this is an added expense and quite a danger, etc. This would never be recognized under EEC. Correct, but the standard is the same. Isn't the point that you are making, quite important here, that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rather than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A90 25X1A90 You wouldn't call being on But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A90 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A90 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A90 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A60 and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. Re had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIELJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		not recognized by the BEC, are problems of magnitude over there. For example,	
Correct, but the standard is the same. Isn't the point that you are making, quite important here, that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rather than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A90 25X1A90 25X1A90 You wouldn't call being on But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A90 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A90 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A90 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A60 and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		even pregnancy on certain of the islands over there, they have to be flown 100	
Isn't the point that you are making, quite important here, that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rather than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A96 25X1A96 You wouldn't call being on But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A96 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A90 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A90 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A66 2		miles through sleet storms, etc., and this is an added expense and quite a dang	er,
Isn't the point that you are making, quite important here, that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rather than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A90 25X1A90 25X1A90 You wouldn't call being on Causal relationship with pregnancy. 25X1A90 But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A90 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A90 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A60 XIA90 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A60 25X1A60 and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		etc. This would never be recognized under BEC.	
that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rather than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A90 2		Correct, but the standard is the same.	25X1A9a
than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicitis the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A92 pay for the care? 25X1A63 You wouldn't call being on causal relationship with pregnancy. 25X1A93 But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A93 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A93 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A93 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A63 nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		Isn't the point that you are making, quite important he	re,
the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not to 25X1A90 pay for the care? You wouldn't call being on But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A90 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A90 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A90 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A60 nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		that in these out-of-the-way places what we need is help on transportation rath	er
pay for the care? You wouldn't call being on causal relationship with pregnancy. But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A9 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A9 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A9 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months pregnant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		than help on medical care? In other words, in cases of pregnancy or appendicit	is
You wouldn't call being on causal relationship with pregnancy. 25X1A93 But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A94 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A94 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A95 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months pregnant and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		the problem is to get the person to a place where he can get medical care, not	to 25X1A9a
But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation is concerned. 25X1A9 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A9 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A66 XXIA99 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A66 nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		pay for the care?	25X1A6a
But there is a causal relationship as far as transportation 25X1A92 We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A92 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A93 MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A663 nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		You wouldn't call being on causal relationship w	rith
We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A9 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A6a MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A6a nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		pregnancy.	25X1A9a
We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a causal relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A9. Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A66 XX1A9a MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months pregnant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		But there is a causal relationship as far as transportat	ion
relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facilities, requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A9. Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A6a MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months pregnant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,	•	is concerned.	25X1A9a
requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not with respect to care. 25X1A9 Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A6a MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A6a nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		We are not proposing to take care of pregnancy as a cau	ısal
Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months pregation and the wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		relationship but we do recognize that the care of pregnancy, under proper facil	ities,
Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind of transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A6a MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A6a nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		requires an important expense for somebody with respect to transportation, not	
transportation provided. That is one that I think has to be discussed. 25X1A6a MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A6a nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		with respect to care.	25X1A9a
MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months preg- 25X1A66 nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		Then you have to keep an eye on insurance as to the kind	of
nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,			25X1A6a
she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,	5X	MR. We had a man in whose wife was 7 months pres	g - 25X1A6a
bit of money, much more than here. MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		nant and he wanted her flown to and the airlines wouldn't take her because	5e
MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually,		she was under 8 months pregnant. He had to go with her and it cost him quite a	a .
MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actually, 25X1A6			
		MR. TIETJEN: Rud, to answer your question, there is more to it, actu	в11у, 25Х1А6а

the facilities aren't than just the cost of transportation; for example, in 25X1A6a considered adequate for deliverance so they generally fly them up to In order to do that they have to be flown in advance of the expected date of delivery, which means a dependent has to go up there and either sit in a hotel or in a hospital for two to three weeks waiting for her baby to be born. In addition

to that, having gotten the foundling she has to return on her own flight with the 25X1A6a
new-born baby in order to get back to So these are extra considerations
over and above just transportation. 25X1A9a
I am considering that as a transportation problem rather
than the medical cost of delivery which would be properly borne by the employee
if the facilities were available, without these other fringe, marginal expenses.
MR. TIETJEN: I think we should also recognize the need and cost in
bringing medicine to the area, say from 25X1A6a
MR. KIRKPATRICK: I think all of these items are supporting evidence
which the Personnel Office will have to gather together in preparing the legisla-
tion. 25X1A9a
MR. This and educational benefits for the children are
the two things that are the stickers in trying to get people to go to these out-
lying places. If they could be assured they are not going to be stuckthey will
even stand for high rents, higher than in Washington, with normal gripesbut the
other two markedly reflects our ability to recruit and get them to do a good job,
because they're worrying about these things all the time.
If you say "Do this for your country" he says "My kids
come first." 25X1A9a
Perhaps from an over-all point of view it's a question of
supplying the American standard of living with respect to education and health
for your dependents. As I see it that is what it really amounts to in a very
broad sense.
MR. KIRKPATRICK: Do you have some additional comments, Paul? 25X1A9a
I don't know whether this is the appropriate place to bring
it up but it's the question of the kind of transportation used and the fact that
your insurance is nullified. 25X1A9a
My only comment there is that is the subject of another
Task Force Study, another report which is not available yet, the kind of insurance
and whether the Agency will make an individual "hole" I believe that is the
technical wordin the event of cancellation of insurance by using strange and
improper transportation. 25X1A9a

Your accident insurance is nullified.

: Paul, to partially answer your question, if we were able to get this, this would help in that there would be less tendency to try to hop an individual somewhere. 25X1A9a If I were abroad I would object to my wife being sent on MATS any place because it would completely nullify what insurance coverage you do have. 25X1A9a Don't most insurance policies cover MATS now? No, they don't. Most of them don't. 25X1A9a Some of them cover only scheduled domestic airlines. 25X1A9a I investigated Prudential and they said, "Nothing doing. 25X1A9a You lose it." That is the prime target of the Insurance Task Force which 25X1A9a is about to go into some really active work. on an L-5 which nobody would cover: 25X1A6a So I rode all over 25X1A9a Even Lloyds? 25X1A9a That is right. So I don't know how you are going to take care of that but I think it's an essential part of the operational side of this 25X1A9a picture. I think the Insurance Task Force should be directed to 25X1A9a discuss that particular point. That is their first priority. Speaking for the Legal Office now, I'd like to point out that we have grave reservations on how far we can get with the Congress on this. That is the reason for this last recommendation, "e", that there be continuing reports to the Career Service Board on the progress being made. In the normal course of events when you start negotiating or discussing proposed legislation with the Bureau of the Budget, you can quickly get some indication of the reception it is going to get. When you get your first loud "NO" from that group, this Board ought to be aware of it so the next course of action can be determined, whether we press ahead or whether we draw in our horns. So I want to make that 25X1A9a point to this Board. It seems to me this whole incentive program is going MR.

. 8 -

to necessitate the sympathetic understanding and the willingness to support it by

selected members of Congress. You heard me make my speech on how I think that

we as an Agency should be recognized by a sponsored group in Congress who knows our business and realizes that unless we can have incentives we can't have a good intelligence service. If you took Fulbright, or somebody in that category, out to the Near East, they would support a thing like this and might even originate it. Now the Defense Department is coming in again. They have a tentative legislation drawn up for complete, guaranteed medical benefits for all employees and all dependents of all military personnel. They're not worried about the Bureau of the Budget. They have been knocked down a good many times but they're going after it again. Our argument should be the necessity for having a career service 25×1A9a

MR. KIRKPATRICK: After the Director decides if he is going for legislation we will have to lay on an educational campaign for selected Senators and Members of the House as to what we want. I think on the Senate side it won't be too difficult because we have a lot of friends fairly well-educated into our work. It's going to be more difficult on the House side because of the size of the body concerned. But we have one great advantage in that Chairman Rees of the Civil Service Committee, which certainly has a passing interest in this, has

25X1A

Is he happy? (Laughter)

25X1A9a

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Apparently. If he isn't, John, you know who is responsible.

25X1A9a

joined the meeting . . .

The Legislative Task Force concurred 100% on that approach.

25X1A9a

: What has happened to the Mansfield proposal, Kirk?

MR. KIRKPATRICK: I think we will know a little bit better this after-

5X1X

the proposal itself is concerned there undoubtedly would be a certain amount of jealousy on the part of the Armed Services Committee, which is our present father in the Senate. They are always reluctant to yield their control over any organization, which they would in effect be doing if Senator Mansfield's Committee were set up, because by tradition he would be the Chairman of the new Committee;

-9-

Approved For Release 2001/06/09 : CIA-RDP80-01826R000500120003-5 SECRET

25X1A

Security Information

	plus the fact that I don't see any great likelihood of either Bridges or Taber	
	yielding the appropriations. So it is quite a complicated factor.	25X1A9a
	we are just about completing discussions of Tab A. Do yo	u .
	have any comments you want to make on that?	2571400
	Other than I think it's a reasonable and appropriate	25X1A9a
1	approach. I have gone over it in some detail with	25X1A9a
ŀ	MR. KIRKPATRICK: If we have no further comment or discussion on that	I
	will assume it is the will of the Board to put this with the other tabs we have	
	already discussed as a package to be presented to the Director.	25X1A9a
	I am reminded of a remark once made to me.	le
	said: "Do you mean to say these spooks of ours have wives and children?" (Laugh	ter)
	: My apologies, Mr. Chairman, for being late. I was un-	25X1A9a
	avoidably detained.	
hitty	MR. KIRKPATRICK: Let's go on to Tab B, Death Gratuity as a Career	
	Service Benefit. It seems to me there is a slight incongruity in the subject ti	tle,
	but that is alright. (Laughter)	
	I think this can be best summarized by stating that the Legislative Ta	ısk
	Force thinks that the survivors should get a lump sum at the time of the unfortu	mate
	event, which I think, from a practical point of view, is very sound.	
	Is there any discussion or comment on this tab or proposal?	
	MR. WHITE: I have one, Kirk. I questioned the advisability of giving	3
	the same thing to the military person on active duty who, in addition, gets his	
١.	service gratuity. I don't quite see the justice of it; and, secondly, I don't	
1	think it would have a chance in tacking that on to legislation.	25X1A9a
•	This \$1000?	
	MR. WHITE: Yes. The proposal, as I understand it, was that you give	
	this \$1000 to everybody, including a Regular Army officer, for example.	25X1A9a
	No, just to staff people. You exclude consultants, spec	ial
	contract personnel and military personnel.	25X1A9a
	I think the use of the term "regular officers" here on p	page
	3 was confusing.	25¥1∆9a

- 10 -

Agency, excluding consultants, persons whose services are obtained by special

(Reading) ". . . regular officers and employees of the

25X1A9a

contracts, and military personnel . . . " It was excluding the military.

: Would this include State Department people assigned to us?

No.

25X1A9a

They have their own.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: There is a comma in the wrong place, if you want to get technical about it.

25X1A9a

What is a "regular officer" of the Agency? That is not defined. We should stick to the terminology of "staff employee" and "staff agent".

what is intended to accomplish there is civilian career 25X1A9a employee.

The members of the career staff, according to Regulation Is that correct, John?

: Yes, employees and staff agents.

25X1A9a

MR. WHITE: I withdraw my comment.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Any other comments?

25X1A9a

I have a couple, on the thought that since World War II -I am looking at page 1 of the Study, paragraph "D" under "Facts Bearing on the
Problem" -- that there has been a trend toward gratuitous insurance. We have recently learned of a report by the Bureau of the Budget which indicates that there
is, of course, a trend toward companies providing insurance but not necessarily
underwriting it. That is particularly true in an overseas survey which the
Bureau of the Budget took here just recently.

On page 2, paragraph "E" at the top of the page, I hope we don't get misled on that as a precedent because this thing is limited pretty carefully, as you see, to a local custom deal and again limited only to \$100.

25X1A9a

There is a different purpose served, too. The purpose here is to promote a career service and the purpose there is so that the U. S. is not placed in a bad light as an employer in an overseas area.

25X1A9a

I would question whether or not that would be a good precedent for us to rely on to attempt to seek this.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: I would seriously question whether the Bureau of the

Budget has its facts right on Item "D" because I think it is fairly well-estab
lished that most companies provide company-paid-for insurance to a very large

degree. I was talking to about

- 11 -

(1)

25X1A

and both of those do. The disability and medical insurance they split with the employees, but they have a completely paid for life insurance policy which is graduated according to the grade of the individual concerned.

25X1A9a

And length of service, perhaps.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: And my old company, U. S. News World Report, has, since 1940, I think, carried both on employees, paid for in full by the company. So there is that trend. I don't think that that is necessarily a dominant factor but I think we have to recognize it in view of the fact that we are in job competition with private industry. The Bureau of the Budget is doing a study which should be out soon on private industries' overseas employee relations.

25X1A9a

I had a report on that which indicated that 16 companies-that it was split somewhat between providing it free or making it available.

: How many companies?

: Sixteen. It was a sample that they took.

Isn't one of the approximate reasons for this paper the

difficulty the deep cover people get into in getting squared away?

That is a large part of it.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

In the event we were unable to get legislation on this, is there anything internally we could do to advance money to people? To give them a retirement or other known assets might take some time to get untangled.

We considered that, John. I suppose technically we could 25X1A9a do that.

MR. WHITE: It might be better to put it this way: technically you couldn't but actually you might.

25X1A9a

Let's say there would be a way found to do it in an appropriate case. We considered the advisability of handing a widow \$1000 with the death notice and saying: "Your husband is dead. Here is \$1000. We're not going to come back later and ask you to pay this back to us." We thought it was somewhat appropriate to do that. You would get all mixed up in trying to settle affairs, and if you take it out of the retirement the widow might have to go and get the money.

MR. WHITE: And we don't control those retirements either.

25X1A9a

We could put a stop on it if they tried to collect it in a lump sum. But it would leave more problems, we felt, in general.

- 12 -

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

There is another factor which the Task Force realized or came across after this report was finished, having to do with the lag in making payments. The prime consideration is that this money is to tide over the dependents during this interim period. It is our understanding that WAEPA insurance can be paid instantly, the minute the death certificate is official. The minute the Agency admits that the death has occurred the WAEPA insurance can be paid the next day.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Which do you mean, the moment the death certificate is official or the moment the Agency admits the death occurred? There is quite a difference. A lot of these things hang up on the availability of a death certificate. If somebody disappears in the mountains of Inner Slobovia there is never going to be a death certificate.

25X1A9a

What I am trying to point out is that as soon as the death can be admitted by the Agency there is no question of trying to straighten up accounts if the individual had WAEPA insurance because that isn't involved in Agency accounts or retirement or anything of the sort.

MR. WHITE: Under the Missing Persons Act there is no problem, is there?

They continue to get their pay.

25X1A9a

They continue to get their pay until the death certificate is filed by the Agency.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Is it the general consensus of the Board that this death gratuity annex is an appropriate one that should be submitted to the Director along with other legislation requests? Any dissension?

25X1A9a

My only question is whether it is big enough, but presumably that has all been worked out in the study.

25X1A9a

The only comment I have on this is that we originally looked at the only available precedent in Government and that is the six month gratuity in the case of the military.

This is awfully small in comparison with that.

Some people said if you're dead you're dead, and it costs

just as much to bury a GS-15 as to bury a GS-5. Therefore, it should be on a

lump sum basis.

I agree with that.

We felt we would land on this figure of \$1000 as an

(3)

CONFIDENTIAL

arbitrary figure. We just felt this was a reasonable amount that a person could get along on for three or four months.

Is there any precedent in any other agency?

There is none. It just doesn't exist for any other
civilian employee of the Government, including the Foreign Service and the Coast
and Geodetic Survey.

25X1A9a

It would provide minimum assistance plus a general contribution to the career program.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Wouldn't it be appropriate to give the drafters of the legislation the alternative of either incorporating this or six months pay? 25X1A9a

I don't think the drafter should have the choice. I think
25X1A9a
it's up to this Board.

MR. When I went over this with my representative on this

Task Force I leaned toward the six month gratuity; then I looked in here and 25X1A9a

found out what the widow gets in FECA, and that is about ten times the pension you

get in the military. 25X1A9a

That was another reason for not going for the six months.

MR. And your inequity in grades, 3 to 16, etc. Because we are the kind of Agency we are it takes an inordinate length of time to get employees' compensation, and the widow needs something to live on.

25X1A9a

I'll buy that. 25X1A9a

MR. There are considerable expenses incident to burial.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Then I assume it is the will of the Board to accept it as presented.

The next item is the new business. Does anybody have anything they wish to bring up?

Would it be appropriate, Kirk, at this time, to take a quick look at the definition of the career service as set forth in the very first portion of this study? Some time was spent on this yesterday with the Assistant Directors, DD/I, and a substitute statement is offered, if this is the correct time to offer it, to the minutes. On page 2 are two paragraphs which purport to give a definition of the career service. It was felt that perhaps it's a little wordy and with maybe too much stress upon the so-called "dedicated" people,

CONFIDENTIAL

and so on, which seemed to beg the question a bit. The substitute paragraph for the two paragraphs would read as follows:

"The CIA career service is a group of individuals selected and especially qualified who desire to devote themselves permanently to the intelligence service of the U.S. Government. The program is open to all U.S. Nationals on full-time duty with the Agency."

The purpose here is just to establish a basis from which other portions of the entire career service can proceed. It's just a statement of a kind of basic philosophy of the career service for the Agency, without going into details as to whether you have a hard corps or whether you don't have a hard corps, or go into any details as to method of selection, which we could work out in other papers.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: I would suggest, Rud, that you take the draft as 25X1A9a submitted by and circulate that, together with the two previous versions, to all members so they can study them at their leisure.

May I ask for a modification to your directive? At the last meeting the Board asked me to prepare a statement on this and I was authorized to especially work with and whoever else necessary. This is a valid proposal, let's say, but I don't think it is a completely staffed out problem, and I'd like to call attention to one thing which I think would be fatal to it. We are talking about a career service and then we are also talking about a program, and I firmly believe, without the slightest question, that we will never solve our problem until we decide whether we are talking about a program or a service.

Therefore, I would like, Kirk, instead of circulating this, to work with as I have tried to in the past week but have not been able to make contact with him, and discuss this point before this is circulated to the Career Board. I'd like to do a little bit more work before it is circulated, if possible.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: I think the Board would be happy to be spared any too much paper work on it. Is that satisfactory with you,

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

1 suppose so.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Any other new business?

You will note in the minutes of the last meeting they have given the schedule for the rest of the Legislative Task Force report. Next week we will have Restrictions and Obligations on CIA Careerists, and I believe we will hear

25X1A

CONFIDENTIAL

ity Information

from the DD/I. We will also have Tenure, Job Security and Reduction in Force.

And the following week Tabs E and H. I'd simply like to say, so that you won't start anticipating a vacation thereafter from weekly meetings, that the week of November 19 we will have available for us the report of the Junior Officers Panel, which I think is going to be quite important and certainly deserves our fullest consideration. And the week of November 26 the report of the Womens Panel will be available, and I trust by the following week the Insurance Task Force Panel will be available. So maybe we can close out 1953 with most of the basic work 25X1A9a done. Then the following week,

That is right.

25X1A9a

MR. KIRKPATRICK: So that we can end up the year happy.

Happy careerists.

25X1A9a

We have received four requests for career development slots.

They are still being examined and processed, and presumably I will have them next week for action by the Board.

MR. KIRKPATRICK: Very good. Stand adjourned. Thank you, gentlemen.

. . . The meeting adjourned at 11:50 a.m. . . .